

Addendum

Discussion on the Unified Mind Theory

Held in Public on the Lulu Forum
Under Miscellaneous General Discussion
between October 2005 and February 2006

October 24, 2005: Hans J Zeunert wrote: (butting rudely into a discussion on "Intelligent Design" hosted by John Mathai)

Hi John, I looked at your posts "Intelligent Design", "Creation or Evolution", and "Life is an illusion". My book "Unified Mind Theory" deals entirely with intelligent design provided God exists, and where the Creator is seen as standing beyond or outside space & time which are but Aspects of His own Creation. Therefore, it should not be too hard to see that whatever has started in God's Mind must also be finished, in completion & perfection, at the moment of its conception. Otherwise, the illusion of the body does not fall out in the overall integration. Did you ever ask yourself: "where does the energy, shooting out of BigBang, comes from" in the first place? BigBang and BigCrunch are perfectly balanced. (No wonder I lost you already here.) It is Memory we share, a commodity which is real since Memory does not change, nor does God change His Mind. Memory is Consciousness over time, already experienced or still to come. Shared perception is the Christ. (Boy, am I ever self-righteous.) The sharing of the illusion in 2 or more parts within the Split of Man on earth produces a complexity in the oneness of God's Mind which we define as "Spirit". Its integration across the Field $\delta t = 0$ is called "the Christ". We say: "He

is there." He extends through the Holy Spirit accessible to each of us as our inner voice easily installed into our "personal operating system" as a protection against malicious thoughts. Just click and ask for it to be installed. Anything else I can bore you with? Hans

October 25, 2005 Hans J Zeunert (opening his own platform for discussion under the topic of "Unified Mind Theory")

I thought I share with you at Lulu what has transpired since I have released *umt.pdf* for wholesale publication. I have started to understand the 5th dimension a little bit better by now. How can we be as dense as to think 4 dimensions is all there is which we in our self-conscious state can grasp and understand?

I am a simple guy. Things must make sense to me whatever may rise up in me. I made a deal with Him who wrote the "Course in Miracles". (It is worth checking out.) This is where I get my inspiration from. Nothing special about that either. The "Unified Mind Theory" is a collection of "impulse" writings, selected from thousands and sorted into a square arrangement as good or as poorly as I could. Sure it can be improved. But these are only outer things. Please let me know what you think. There are people out there who think my book is total *Scheiße* and completely *doof*. I wonder if all of you think that way. Here it goes:

Mind

Mind is the background to all there is. "All-there-is" means the integration of all manifestation from the start to the end of all time. Big-bang, big-crunch and maximum universal expansion are given points in absolute and relative time in the expanding and

contracting universe which we witness and see. Mind provides for the never-changing background to billions of parts in "God's one Son", scattered within "the Split" or "Body of Man", held captive in a formatted state, known as Self-Consciousness, or "SC" for short. SC is a state in upside-down perception where each part (of us on earth) is located and defined in space and time. Seen together, or integrated over our complex domain, we always end up as "1" in our "Field in Consciousness", holding all Memory "within". Read and share the vision of the "Unified Mind Theory: A Model of Creation".

umt.pdf

What's that: "Unified Mind?" A new club? An underground organization? The UMT is a study in and of reality. It is a new approach to show Creation in completion and perfection, a model in 3 dimensions of space and 2 dimensions of time. The term "absolute time" is resurrected and redefined as the radius of the expanding and contracting universe which is an absolute event and therefore "over-and-above", and therefore not being subject to relative time and its laws regarding the speed of light within space-time. Speaking in spiritual terms, we can state that the Body of the universe is the Body of God's Son in manifestation. Its Spirit is defined as "the Christ", a function in complexity. At its center, we look at a Field of shared perception, and from here on it is not hard to see why "Spirit does not need a tent". We need 5 dimensions to comprehend and see from within our restricted self-conscious mind the universe from Its beginning to Its end.

Self-consciousness or "SC" for short

Sure, there is always the nagging thought which says: "i want my body to be real; because i am convinced my personal survival depends on its reality." Manifestation is the realization of that which is expected to happen. Do you use your mind as your support system to bring about what your ego suggests? It hurts the ego to be overruled by what occurs in the outer, but this may be a measure of what you have to pay back for injury having inflicted (on the Body of God's Son) in the past. Unless you rise above your limitations, you have no chance of getting out of the loop of never-ending reincarnations -- if only for the sake of fulfilling the widest possible range in the experience of God's Son in manifestation. Relative time is a loop. It starts at $-2G$ and ends, or reconnects, at $+2G$. With absolute time measuring zero recession at maximum universal expansion and as we are experiencing now, our 4-dimensional outlook from within makes us see the universe as infinite. However it is "closed within". This refers to the larger loop in the absolute domain. Absolute time is a vector. It originates at the absolute centre also known as bigbang, and points directly at each of us in the Split, as we sit with our butt glued to planet earth, at its final end. This is awesome to consider. This is an incredible thought. It gives us the idea of being seen all together, at the same time, so to speak "in parallel" from the start to the end of all time. It makes us look to field theory to describe all activity in Mind to satisfy the intellect. There must be talent out there to pick up on Maxwell & Minkowski & Kaluza & friends to formulate geometry in five dimensions, tailored to describe reality.

Theory of Everything

Is there anything you like to say about string theory before we finish with that subject, and as we wind down, both of us I guess,

and from my wish to know the truth, in simple terms and so I understand, so we can stop talking about it? Alright. Tell me: what does it look like to you, how does it appeal to you? Let us assume we have a beautiful, most elegant tool in math, complete within itself, discovered and recovered from ancient wisdom, pulled out off ancient caves, lending itself to speculation how to interpret and classify Truth for everybody to see, "a theory of everything" so to speak. We look at a model of eleven dimensions with multiple, parallel experiences for each of us in the Split, in the language of math nobody understands. What's the attraction? It looks like a malicious bug has hit our easy and straight-forward model in 5 dimensions in which absolute time is radial, and relative time is a loop each moment $d\tau = 0$, and our files are scattered all over the place in the relative Field of our Split. One could speculate and get excited, but the analysis may not look very nice, nor inviting. One could think the illusion is real from within the viewpoint in "SC". Truth however, in order to be real, must be simple and must easily be understood. Anything difficult cannot be real since reality is not difficult to understand, nor to see, with the inner eye.

The Body is not real

What happens when you die? You don't die. It is the body that gets old and dies. But if you do not have the pleasure of growing old on dear mother earth, you may get called rather suddenly because of an accident or a man-made cause designed to cause mutual slaughter within the Body of Man carried out for reasons of insanity under the format of the split- or 4-dimensional brain, and its limitations. Is this slaughter of divine design assuming that nothing can happen against the Will of God? Look at the contrast it gives us when it comes to the switch in our Field in Conscious-

ness. The sharper the corners of our switch, this "suddenness" in relative time over the near endless stretch of absolute time which does not seem to move, the greater is the potential of joy in coming together in peace, love and harmony. This relates to the inheritance promised by our Father and our acceptance of it, called "the Kingdom" which is already here. In His perfect Creation, nobody is lost. But let's go back to your question. Alright, you are concerned about yourself, and your body, and what change there is if there is awareness after your physical death at all. A peaceful setting makes it easy for peace to prevail during a normal transition. *Da ist keine Angst*. You may even be happy getting rid of the old and aching body, the cause of all your pain. Perhaps you regret leaving so suddenly, so unpronounced, since in your mind there is still so much to be done - like writing books :-), saving the world. But this is an "ego trip". Nothing can happen against the Will of God which is a statement which is forever true. Therefore, the timing of the event must be perfect because i in the body cannot see with my limited 4-dimensional perception what my higher Self can see Who lives and perceives in 5 dimensions since He is in all of us. In 5 dimensions, we live and progress "in parallel" with all parts in the Split moving in equal steps in relative time, to the rhythm of the sky, the earth -- day and night. You can see this quite clearly when there is no resistance in you anymore. Without resistance, you become "innocent" again, "free from all sin", and you love what you see. You dwell in the peace of God's Mind.

UMT

What is the Unified Mind Theory? The UMT describes Creation in five dimensions. It is an easy introduction to the Theory of Truth. Terms are defined. Spirit is described as a movement in

Mind. In 5 dimensions are we seen in completion. 5 dimensions are all we need. "Extra! Extra! Enlightenment for 20 bucks!"

October 26, 2005 W. Lea Macquarrie

What makes you so sure about all this? Where is the evidence? I once was, but have reconsidered. The End of Faith by Sam Harris has changed my thinking.

October 29, 2005 Hans J Zeunert

Hi Leah, I know -- my book is full of strong statements. The thing is, it is not me who makes them. What shall I do? They kind of push themselves into my thought system. What I know for sure is, when I moved from Vancouver where I worked as a Professional Engineer, to Kelowna almost 40 years ago, together with my wife I had "imported" from Germany, as well 3 little kids we had in the meantime together, that Heidi suddenly announced that it would be normal for a family to go to church on Sunday mornings instead of nurturing a hangover. Well, getting baptized a second time, - the first time as a baby, and you couldn't do a thing about it, but this time as an adult, plus accepting Jesus into your life and all that fuzz that goes with it,- there is the nagging thought: what is if I don't like the set-up anymore? How do I get out of it? Well, once you give in and make a deal with this long dead man, there is no way out. You are kind of trapped. I don't know if this explains anything. Your way is laid out. Period. You drop all what was so important to you before. You get ideas, you write them down. You talk about faith? I do not believe in ghosts. Vision is what counts. The vision of Creation in 5 dimensions is what every college kid should be able to see. It is not faith but un-

derstanding the Unified Mind Theory brings. I can make fun of Einstein or question String theory because when you start to understand you start to see, and you can see mankind tapping in the dark, in upside down perception, not knowing we are acting within the Body of our higher Self. "Shared perception is the Christ in the 1-ness of God's Mind!" Here, we have Field Theory in 3 dimensions of space and 2 dimensions of time. How could our scientists have missed that absolute time, which governs the expansion and the contraction of the universe, is not subject to relative time, and its restrictions with regard to the speed of light, and as seen from within manifestation, in our 4-dimensional world? Can we not all jump one step up, and rejoice in our common source? Hans

October 31, 2005 Jerry Allen

I'm sorry but none of this makes any sense to me. Sounds like a bunch of gobble gook. I would make a comment about the content if I could understand what theory it is you are trying to purpose.

October 31, 2005 John Mathai

Sorry Hans but your thoughts appear to be rather jumbled and difficult to comprehend your writing (sic). Looks like you are trying to say something but it comes across at gibberish. I would advise that you get someone to edit your work so that it is comprehensible to those who read it!

November 1, 2005 Hans J Zeunert

Those who seek Truth find it amongst my jumble & gibberish. If you have problems with any of my statements, become specific and we discuss. Hans

November 01, 2005 Ron Copis

Cut the guy a break. Many people whose first language is English can't use it correctly, and it makes for a BEAR of a second language.

That said, anyone really desirous of "finding The Truth"... ...can find it scratched on the men's room wall at Dallas Airport... ...all it really takes is utter desperation and a total lack of discriminatory thinking. Which is why so many people... find... "The Truth". And, wow, they're all different. ☺ imagine that. Anyway. This looks like equal parts Blavatzky and Ouspensky with a little huma thrown in, poured into a Christian mold, and baked till overdone... that, or an attempt to superimpose the Precision Bidding System from Bridge – onto *Life*. I'm thinking tables or diagrammes might help. And a glossery (sic) (not sure what "The Split" is for instance. I also feel that this exposition was not begun at the beginning, but jumped into middle-wise.

Maybe each of it is the The One – and the whole rest of the world is one big Smith-Swarm. That would explain some days.

November 2, 2005 Hans J Zeunert

Hi Ron.

Thanks for coming to my aid. When people hear about something

new, something that could challenge their fundamental belief system, they become scared and gang up and throw abuse at you. But that is okay. Unable to understand the content, they start bitching about the wrapping. This is normal human behaviour in the semi-sleep state of self-consciousness. But you have given me an idea with your "Truth being scratched on the wall in the men's wash-room at Dallas Airport", and I think you are trying to say that everybody has his or her own definition of truth. I agree fully with you, and therefore I think it is time that we come to an agreement and define what we mean when we use the term "Truth". Here is what my book on the theory of the unified Mind has to say on the subject:

1.2 Truth, Paragraph 1:

What is Truth? Truth is that what never changes. Truth is whole; it stands forever. It does not change. The word itself means nothing. It simply 'is'. It is an idea. We make it our law, we make it our reference. Now can we bring our illusions into "the Light of Truth" and measure them against the test of eternity.

Paragraph 2:

We must have a name. We call it "Truth". But first we go out from what is true. What is true? The Truth is true! Everything else, by way of definition, therefore must be an illusion. How do we classify Truth? Is it religion? Is it science? Is it fiction? Just file it under Truth. We can look at it later, any time we want. Before we are willing to look at it again, we must be able to find it. We must be able to "drop it", and think of things "that matter most" at any given moment in time.

Paragraph 3:

Truth is a concept, a definition made by Man. In a world of illusions, it acts like a magic wand able to cut through all illusions. These words are written in truth, and he who adheres to truth is set free. That which is written in Truth stands so forever and is not subject to the corrosion of time.

Paragraph 4:

"It is the wish to know the Truth which makes your wish come true.

We should realize that these 4 paragraphs were actual conversations, and that I realize that these transmissions, or revelations if you like, were only limited by my intellectual capacity, because I have not written anything down I have not understood, and I only have a master's degree in electrical engineering. However, if there is anybody out there in lulu land who can say it any better, by all means, go for it. If there is anything in these 4 paragraphs which is gibberish, or has an accent, or otherwise is not right or proper and can be improved, please point out and say so. Let us do this together. I want everybody, who still is opposed to new ideas, agree to reason and logic and sight. Hans

November 3, 2005 Hans J Zeunert

Ron, Here is a "Truth Statement"; just came in. I think it is neat, and I like to share with you: The symbolic expression $\alpha I A M \Omega$ means "I AM from the beginning to the end" and he or she who

understands, can see it easily as the ultimate Truth statement in never-changing Mind. This vision explains everything. It wraps up the whole theory of the Unified Mind into this one original Idea. $\alpha\text{IAM}\Omega$ is like a program compressed into a "Single Thought", never changing. Do you like me to go on? Would you like to know Who's Thought I mean? How about "He Who thinks" is the Creator, and $\alpha\text{IAM}\Omega$ is His Son in Whom you play your part. Makes sense? Does this not answer all your questions, of where we come from, and where we go, and what "Life eternal" is all about? Hans

November 4, 2005 Jerry Allen

Hans J Zeunert wrote: "When people hear about something new, something that could challenge their fundamental belief system etc." WHATEVER... there was no abuse from my end. Sorry you are so sensitive.

there is nothing challenging to me in these statements. I merely said it does not make sense. I don't need "truth" that is unable to be deciphered from a mish mash of random thoughts and big words from the dictionary. Others may be impressed but I'm not. "Truth" should be and is...simple. Cheerio!!

November 6, 2005 Hans J Zeunert

Hi Jerry, Thanks for still responding to my post. I invite you, or anybody else who may still be interested in discussing or commenting on the Unified Mind Theory, to download preview_umt.pdf from my storefront, which covers almost half of the manuscript of my book. This first half is the part which should get astrophysicists excited.

We all agree i am not much of a writer, and i really don't give a hoot. (Please allow me to refer to myself in the lower case, as i see the "i" as a unit in the 1-ness of our higher self.) What i am saying is that 'content' counts. i have no interest impressing anybody, because i have nothing to show off with in the first place. But i like to share with you, and whoever likes to join this group, the insight which has been given me, just because i asked for it. If that sounds kinky, let me assure you i am the straightest guy in town. i am at peace. So let us be willing to expand our mind.

With preview-umt.pdf loaded into your Acrobat Reader, we see that the front and back cover come with the document already defined in black and white. Any input there? We could go from here to the next page called "Introduction", which describes all my problems which is boring, and in this way we may go through the whole document. i explain, and I "defend" "my" theory. In this way i get recognition. But for what? Getting excited? Does the theory not speak for itself? Is it really "my theory" at all?

Or we can jump. We can jump right into the action, and we look together at a graph, a revelation which shows us a model of Creation in completion at the moment of maximum universal expansion, shown in 5 dimensions with 2 dimensions of space suppressed. (See also "The Shell" on page [3.2.1].)

Really, it is a magnification of the original Idea $\alpha\text{IAM}\Omega$ i talked about earlier. Feel the impact it has on you, and as you study it. Experience its vision unfolding in you. This is what Dan Falk dreams about in his "Universe on a T-shirt" book. We could discuss and see what "2 dimensions suppressed" means, and how we

could let then pop up again in our model of the "Split", a term adopted from "A Course in Miracles", and with which my wife tortured me over many years, by forcing me to learn the exercise book by heart.

The term "Split" points to our fundamental error in self-consciousness in which we think the body is real, and this turns everything around in us. We all know the body dies, and that what is real can never die. It is "Life ever-lasting" which is real and as we were told by Him to Whom we confess. Therefore the Split itself is not real. It is an illusion. But still, just for fun, we can check it out and trace life lines in absolute & relative time, and we may develop programs and we put all pieces back together so that we see them as one again. This keeps us busy, and away from being occupied with how best to kill our brother, or otherwise do damage to our higher Self. Hans

November 7, 2005 Jerry Allen

Hey Hans, I'm at peace too but far from straight and my body is as real as it gets ☺ I'm glad you have found peace with this theory that your body isn't real. All the more power to you; obviously, you have put much thought into it. I think I will go on my merry little way now....Jer

November 08, 2005 Hans J Zeunert

Thanks. Will do. Hans

November 11, 2005 Ron Copis

OK, let's play this a little:

Quote: " The symbolic expression $\alpha\text{IAM}\Omega$ means "I AM from the begin to the end" and he or she who understands, can see it easily as the ultimate Truth statement in never-changing Mind. This vision explains everything. It wraps up the whole theory of the Unified Mind into this one original Idea. $\alpha\text{IAM}\Omega$ is like a program compressed into a "Single Thought", never changing. Do you like me to go on? Would you like to know Who's Thought I mean? How about "He Who thinks" is the Creator, and $\alpha\text{IAM}\Omega$ is His Son in Whom you play your part. Makes sense? Does this not answer all your questions, of where we come from, and where we go, and what "Life eternal" is all about?"

My first impression is a similarity to the Gnostic DemiUrge (or DemiUrgeous, w/ever. Now you have a Creator, a primum mobile... then a Son who exists "from the beginning to the end", not "eternal"... presumably because finite beings cannot interact with an eternal being...(?)... and need a finite intermediary...(?)

You also imply a Bang/Crunch cycle. That would mean multiple beginnings and ends,... and a new Son for each cycle? As I've mentioned else around here, the concept of a Being who can exist both in some "other" state of non-being existence before BBang, and in a state of existance (sic) that pertains after a BBang... well, it needs exposition before it gets credibility.

Now, I have not gotten your .PDF yet... been busy last month, and been busy recuperating FROM last month... and I've had in the preparing of a refutation of Intelligent Design (as promised) – so, if I'm asking things you have already answered in that .PDF, just say so... this is something I'll get to.

Another point. Your English is MUCH better than my Deutschenbotchen so I don't let it bother me, but not every English speaker thinks that way—you really would benefit from getting hold of a native speaker to help you rephrase things.

And now the thing that really bothers me about all such expositions...

Quote: I think you are trying to say that everybody has his or her own definition of truth. I agree fully with you., and therefore I think it is time that we come to an agreement and define what we mean when we use the term "Truth".

...if there really is Truth (and I suspect that there may be) that the "known" senses and process of reason have so far been unable to detect and measure, a Truth that only comes to individuals through some process of introspection—how come it's so massively different for each individual who receives these revelations?

Before everybody jumps in, YES, I know the story of the seven blind men and the elephant. And YES, I know everyone's "cultural frame of reference" is different... but you know what, those sound merely like weak excuses and evasions from the serious question that I'm asking... humans are much much more *like* each other than they are different from each other. Plus, our sensory and cognitive processes are reliable among individuals—what can be known by a rational process by one person can be reproduced exactly by another -- so why are non-sensory revelations not the same way...? This is actually a corollary of my main question that no one has ever even scratched. "*Why would (God, a god, any god/s)*

gift us with reason and then require it to be ignored and/or violated in order to believe in (him/her/it/them)...?"—so, I keep asking, at decent intervals. It was time to renew it, so I just did again.

November 11, 2005 Robert Luther Dietz

Hans, my first impression is "Metaphysical Manucia!" But at the risk of sounding critical and not "PC," I'll take the challenge of reading your preview.

1. Science actually suspects (sic) at least seven other dimensions spun out of our perception at the graet bang. You suggest only two... personally, if God exists He must be infinite dimensional because He is infinite.

2. Memory is consciousness over time? Would it not be best to say Memory is consciousness of time? If anything, it is time that is an illusion. If only exists if there is a beginning and an end. In the eternal, time does not exist. If we join with what is eternal, according to your definition we will not have to capacity of memory. Do we exist independent of time or is our existance (sic) determined by time. No, time is an illusion and we are only observers of it.

3. Creation means the "Birth of God's Son into manifestation. Assuming God is real? This sounds Judea/Christian... if this is the starting place of your perception, then what Biblical evidence exists for your statements?

4. "Absolute time becomes the radius and reference to expanding and contracting universe?" Now your (sic) sounding Hindu... Huble's find (sic) actual dispells the idea of a contracting uni-

verse. Where is the science in this?

5. "Truth is true. Everything else, by way of definition, therefore must be an illusion." and "Truth is a concept. an illusion made by man?" This sounds like double talk to me. Truth is either absolute and independent of man or it is not. It doesn't quite sound like you are sure. If you answer that there is no absolute truth then you are guilty of the fallacy (sic) of self contradiction. You cannot make a truth state mint, "There is no absolute truth," because the statement itself is presented as an absolute truth.

6. On reality, we relate through our common "I". While I agree that there is a real truth, I disagree that we all relate on the basis of our existence or "common consciousness." There is a statement, "perception is reality." While this statement is not necessarily true, it is true when stated in the light what men have in common, it is your point of reference, "your 'I' " that determines what you consider to be real... So without an absolute basis for reality everything is suspect of being an illusion.

7. Adam means maximum conversion from the Base to the Split? You must have no experience with Hebrew... Adam simply put means "man"

8. You say the Spirit is the result of your affirmations concerning absolute and relative time. The Big Bang is the reference point. Time does not exist independent of it for it is the first event in time with a sequence of subsequent time events. You speak in Biblical terms of God and His Son.... The Bible must be the ultimate reference for any statements about these two. The Bible says God is spirit and must be worshiped in spirit. Spirit must

then be independent of time since God is above the dimension of time. He is by definition eternal. Perhaps Spirit is just another dimension of an infinitely dimensional God.

Well, I'm getting weary of this... Scanning through the rest of the preview confirms my first impression. This is merely Hinduism wrapped in a wrapper of disceptive science and assumed metaphysical principles. Since the Hindu faith believes all roads lead to the same place, I can see how you might mix it all with the elements of the "Father and the Son" to attract those who might have a religious memory of Christianity with no foundation. To those who do have a foundation they will quickly recognize this as "New Age" doctrine.

Well Hans, you asked what we thought... this is merely an observation... it is not my intention to offend you personally. If you speak in absolute truths Jesus said, "I am the way the truth and the life. No one gets to the Father but by me." It is clear that Jesus is saying that any attempt to make sense of the eternal God is meaningless without without a knowledge of himself. If you truly wish to make sense of the universe and its meaning... Jesus is the only route. We cannot get there through an intellectual persuit...
Bob Dietz

November 12, 2005 Robert Luther Dietz

Ron Copis wrote: "--how come it's so massively difficult for each individual who receives these relevations? Before everybody jumps in, YES, I know the story of the seven blind men and the elephant. And YES, I know everyone's "cultural frame of reference" is different... but you know what, those sound merely like

weak excuses and evasions from the serious question that I'm asking... humans are much much more *like* each other than they are different from each other. Plus, our sensory and cognitive processes are reliable among individuals—what can be known by a rational process by one person can be reproduced exactly by another-- so why are non-sensory revelations not the same way...?

Hallo Ron, Good to see your still at the game. The one thing that people fail to notice about the parable of the three blind men and the elephant is that there is one person that everyone fails to notice who has full knowledge of the elephant... That would be the narrator of the parable. So, the parable actually fails in its point because it appears that someone was capable of knowing the absolute truth. Your friend Bob Dietz

November 12, 2005 Ron Copis

Quote: "This is merely Hinduism wrapped in a wrapper etc ...to those who have a foundation, they will quickly recognize this as "New Age" doctrine.

Hmmm. If true - would this tactic then be similar to an approach that cloaks orthodox Christian doctrine in a deceptive mantle of "objective science"...?

(And it happens that I LIKE the "seven blind men and the elephant parable; it illustrates some valid points to include the one you bring out. It is however not an "answer" to the challenge I posed. I was just pre-emptively deflecting weak attempts that have been made before. My point is that through the senses and the rational process, people from wildly different backgrounds may understand incredibly complex ideas in very much the same way as each

other – a so-far-unexplained or undescribed "inner sense" would have to meet that standard to be considered as a valid tool of researching the truth. It doesn't – and I have no idea why it is so commonly perceived as a superior method...)

(well, I have no "polite" idea. My impolite notion is that it's merely a convenient invasion utilized by people whose ideas cannot pass more demonstrable muster. But that's a little bit cynical and may be a bias that prevents me from understanding something important someday so I try to suppress it.) (I said "try"... OK...?)

November 12, 2005 Hans J Zeunert

Hi Ron, how nice of you to write again. It would certainly help if you could find the time and study [preview_uml.pdf](#) since all your questions are answered here. I had exactly the same questions like you before I wrote the answers down, and since my background is engineering, they were given me to understand Creation in abstract terms and concepts, like relativity and field theory, to which I can relate. Here, the Mind of the Creator is the background in which Creation exists from the begin to the end of all time.

The bang/crush cycle is a logical conclusion, particularly if you take a closer look at what the Hubble constant says, revealing that right now we have maximum universal expansion, or to be more precise, when and where Spirit entered manifestation, or $t = 0$ BC, and where "BC" stands for "Birth of Christ". There is no reason to believe that the universe keeps on oscillating. For what? If God exists, then we should assume He does not make mistakes, or has to repeat something He may have forgotten to do in the first

place. A Mind Which is free from space or time limitations and where a body acts as a host, must see Creation from the begin to the end of all time. Anything what has started in Mind must be complete in Mind because there must always be perfect balance of any complexity held in never-changing Mind. How else can you create anything, anything at all out of nothing?

To discover truth means to stop self-consciousness. This is an option. Step up to the 5th dimension and you have a clearer view. God gives us reason so we understand His Son and His Creation. In self-consciousness, we live in upside-down perception, and in which your own body is seen as real, and everybody else's is disposable. Okay, again: ...where the body is seen as real, and the Son fathers himself. This vision is rather disgusting. Read the preview and if you like, i send you the rest for your study. All of your questions are being answered with logic and reason. Keep on bugging me. This is still better than being ignored, and in the process i'll get my theory discussed. Thanks. Hans

November 13, 2005 Bob Dietz

Ron Copis wrote: "Hmmm. If true – would this tactic then be similar to an approach that cloaks orthodox Christian doctrine in a deceptive mantle of "objective science" ...?"

Ron, if this statement is a mixture of your disdain for my beliefs and our previous discussion concerning intelligent Design, you leave me perplexed. I never made reference to any personal religious point of view. It is my assertion that Intelligent Design can stand alone apart from any religious reference. Hence, I never was deceptive by your definition. I am a follower of Jesus. That seems

an unpopular claim these days. I'll rather be with Him for eternity than be popular. Regards, Bob Dietz

November 13, 2005 Hans J Zeunert

Hi Bob; Intelligent design is embraced in the concept of "Creation in perfection and completion", provided God and His Son are real. To follow Jesus these days is very popular. There is no reason to hide. I think he is the coolest dude there is. Hans

November 13, 2005 Ron Copis

Quote: "Ron, if this statement is a mixture of disdain for my beliefs and our previous discussion concerning Intelligent Design, you leave me perplexed."

It's a reflection of my disdain for the ID movement, it wasn't intended "personally". I simply found it inconsistent (and in fact bordering upon hypocritical) that an ID proponent would impugn any belief-system in this fashion.

Quote: "This is merely Hinduism wrapped in a wrapper etc ...who might have a religious memory of Christianity with no foundation."

--when that is exactly the methodology of the ID faction. My blocks of elective time have been few and short for some while, but the ID subject interested me - especially in the sense of offering viable alternative to neoDarwinism, upon which theory I think I have made my disdainful opinions clear – and so I made time for some study.

The short version: "ID" as a scientific theory that can compete

with "Evolution" has so far been a profound disappointment, and not the least contributory factor to that working conclusion has been the intent to deceive found rampant among ID proponents.

I didn't keep all the links and I'll admit that this self-assigned study has been haphazard and intermittent, but here is an example that – from a christian apologetic you linked to – says something compelling:

Quote: "Winning the argument for design without identifying the designer yields, at best, a sketchy origins model. Such a model makes little if any positive impacts on the community of scientists and other scholars. Such a model does not lend itself to verification, nor can it make specific, credible predictions. On both counts, scholars, particular scientists, would be reluctant to acknowledge the concepts viability and give it serious attention. Nor does this approach offer them spiritual direction."

I very much recommend reading the rest. I interpret this as an honest well-meaning man concerned about the inherent disingenuousness in ID as usually presented and wishing that it were not so. To put this in context, he also wrote:

Quote: For the next year and a half I spent about an hour a day searching the Bible for scientific and historical inaccuracies. I finally had to admit that it was error free and that this perfect accuracy could only come from the Creator Himself. I also recognized that the Bible stood alone in describing God and His dealings with man from a perspective that demanded more than just the dimensions we humans experience (length, width, height and time). Further, I had proven to myself, on the basis of predicted history and science that the Bible was more reliable than many of the laws of physics. My only rational option was to trust the Bible's authority to the same degree as I

trusted the laws of physics.

The rest is here. Y'know, you could just weep at such statements.

One of the absolutely pivotal Bible stories – that of the Exodus – has been quite thoroughly demonstrated and not factual in critical particulars and in general as well... yet he trusts that as much as he does the laws of physics. What part of the Bible was he studying? ? This places his credentials as a scientist in doubt.

My working verdict regarding ID (not completely through yet) is to concur with the majority of the scientific community (a group of people I'm not always overly keen on) in that ID is merely "stealth Creationism", the tenets of Christianity wrapped in a "science" façade which acts as attractive "bait". It has, by intentional design, enough trappings of science to deceive people unfamiliar with what science is – and on the evidence of the wide-spread belief in the "human caused Global Warming" lie, that subsumes 90%+ of the population.

I don't see the ID/Creationism as the opposing side to neoDarwinism/Evolution that brings balance to scientific study. I see it as the continuation as the same kind of attacks upon science and rational thought that the neoDarwinist cult has always made, and in that light I see these two "sides" as much more in agreement than in disagreement as to their ultimate goal.

When you have steered people away from thinking in terms of the demonstrable and the provable, what's it matter what they believe in? The main job's done; the enemy—rational thought—is defeated, and all that remains is how to divvy up the loot.

Those are my grounds in impersonally pointing out the irony in your critique of the system presently under discussion.

Quote: I never made reference to any personal religious point of view... I am a follower of Jesus.

Yes, you pretty much did make those references all through... which isn't deceptive, but

Quote: It is my assertion that Intelligent Design can stand alone apart from any religious reference

calls that into question. So, a question—Would you be okay with provisionally accepting the **Flying Spaghetti Monster** as the Designer, or a possible Designer, or not at all? Then this:

Quote: I am a follower of Jesus. That seems that it is an unpopular claim these days

From where I stand, it's not unpopular at all. In fact in varying degrees of strength it appears the core belief of the overwhelming majority of Western Civilisation. How can you say such things in apparent sincerity? Colour ME perplexed at this—violetly so.

November 14, 2005 Hans J Zeunert

Hi Robert;

The word "Manucia" is not in the Webster. I do not know what you are talking about. I do not get what your risk of sounding

critical could possibly have anything to do with "PC", short for "personal computer". I talked on this forum before about our position (yes, the 2 of us) regarding string theory. Here, we have 7 additional dimensions on top of our standard world described in 4 dimensions, and in this you are correct. However my theory suggests only one more dimension, and not 2 as you claim, over and above these four basic ones, to describe the Breathing of the Universe, its expansion and its contraction, in the fulfillment of Its Plan. We pull the old term "absolute time" out of the trash can to describe the expansion and contraction. Its Breath holds Life. This is the absolute Domain. This phenomenon is not subject to the laws within. In my model of Creation on page [3.2.1] [preview_omt.pdf](#) you can see clearly that absolute time is a vector, originating from bigbang, coming to rest on the Shell. The surface of the Shell, in physics, represents space-time, our 4-dimensional *Weltbild*. It should be clear by now that relative time, a vector in our 4-dimensional realm, stands perpendicular to absolute time and both should not be mixed up with each other.

If God exists He certainly is not dimensional. He does not "fill out". He is beyond measurement. He is our Creator, and He is not subject to His Own Creation. He does not live in a body or in time but He is in us, outside us, outside His own Creation, everywhere, holding us like a child in perfection and completion embracing us in a constant flow of never-changing Love. He does not want our Love returned. He wants us to pass It on, to His Son. "He is infinite" may not be the proper term. Let us say His Memory is large enough to store all Spirit generated, at any definition required anywhere in the universe, plus all blueprints how to convert bigbang rays into hydrogen, and its birth in space and time and the whole thing slowing down, *und so weiter und so fort*.

What's wrong with "Intelligent Design? Sounds okay to me. But it is done all up front, and at its end, and all is stored in Memory. I don't care how I sound: Hinduism, Buddha or "Gnostic Demi-Urge" whatever this means. That's the way it came to me, that's the way I can explain, that's the way it makes sense to me.

All you guys out there who are so smart: study the preview, ask me to send you the remainder, then come back prepared and ask questions about what you have not understood. If you know it better, don't come to me just because you like to fight. I do not get points in heaven for converting souls on earth to my kind of vision. This is not my job. Don't you worry about Jesus. Where do you think I got all my ideas from? Hans

November 14, 2005 Ron Copis

I consider myself politely chastized, which is upon occasion a seriously needed thing. ☺ Last night started reading from your site and then forthwith got pulled away, but I'll get back to it and with luck have some intelligent questions/comments afterwards. For now though. Quote: Don't you worry about Jesus. Where do you think I get all my ideas from? You could talk about that process.

November 14, 2005 Robert Luther Dietz

Sorry Hans, Manucia... I got the word from a segeant who spent time in Germany... It's a German word and looking back, it wasn't a kind thing to say. I apologize. It means manure. Bob Dietz

November 14, 2005 Jerry Allen

FYI: "PC" is a now common abbreviation for "Political Correct". Just thought I'd share.

November 14, 2005 Hans J Zeunert

Thanks. Get it. But to say *Scheiße* shows his ignorance. He can keep it for himself. But i speak him free of guilt ☺ because guilt is not real since guilt does not come from God , and so it is pretty easy to forgive. Thanks for explaining things, and your wish to make peace, and to help the cause of humanity. (How does this sound?) Hans

November 15,2005 Jerry Allen


LOL... Hans, that sounds great ☺

November 18, 2005 Hans J Zeunert

Hi everybody,

i am so happy i found out i can bring pictures into the conversation, so i don't have to push anybody to download my German/Manucia infested preview, and ask to study all that nonsense on top of it, which has not even been proof-read yet. How disgusting. i agree. Here it is:

Creation




We are looking at a model of Creation in 5 dimensions, with 2 dimensions of time and 3 dimensions of space. we distinguish between 2 aspects in Creation: The physical aspect, and the spiritual aspect shown in the above diagram, assuming God and His Son exist. We only have to exchange the terms Consciousness, Memory and Origin with spacetime, absolute time and bigbang, and we hold in our Mind the universe in completion, from the begin to its end, provided the correct interpretation of Edwin Hubble's Law leads us to assume that maximum universal expansion has indeed occurred, and may very well have coincided with the Birth of Christ on earth, and as we have witnessed this event. Absolute time is an old, discarded term resurrected and redefined as the radius to the universe, describing our true distance to bigbang. Expansion and contraction of the universe are an absolute event, and require a dimension of their own. Our model shows that absolute and relative time are 2 different vectors. Absolute time is radial and reaches from bigbang pointing directly at each of us, and as we are known to be "in the Christ", filling us with life. Relative

time is perpendicular to absolute time because it cannot "break out" of the 4-dimensional Shell, shown with 2 space dimensions suppressed. This should be the correct view when it comes to physics and our outlook on Life and us and the universe. Bigbang is of a 5th dimension. To us it is "beyond". It cannot be found, nor reached, in our 4-dimensional world $\{x,y,z,t\}$.

Let us take a break, and then we check out what Edwin Hubble really said, and what interpretation was suppressed. If there is a God, then this revelation should not be a coincidence either, but that it was meant to be a marker for us to recognize and to understand. We need this marker as a solid reference point for the construction of our complex fields in 5 dimensions, and as we need to show not only that each moment in relative time all unshared illusions fall out, but also that in the integration over absolute time all complex fields balance and lose their imaginary part. All energy balances, and God's Son is complete and real and whole. Try to say it with less words. Hans

November 21, 2005 Hans J Zeunert

Hubble's Law



Here we have the diagram showing Hubble's Law downloaded from NASA's homepage. Basically, what it says, is the further we go back in time, the higher is our recessional velocity, a process in the Absolute in which we are included. Right now, here on earth, today, we read recessional velocity is zero. This is clearly seen with our concept of absolute time, the straight line which connects us to bigbang, using it like a dipstick checking out recessional velocity along the way. We are looking at our own past, because all clusters and super clusters and clouds and manifestations are still one Body, including these nasty little black holes, as well as space-time, created at a point in absolute time called bigbang. This Body {x,y,z,t} is a function of absolute time. It appears God blows up this balloon in 4 dimensions to its maximum, only to make it shrink on its own to provide for the Energy needed at the start, and to pass on, by the way, His Breath of Life. Right now, we enjoy maximum universal expansion. We are riding the Crest in Creation. We are using the flat portion to its maximum potential which lies between the end of expansion and the begin of con-

traction of the entire universe, which stretches in absolute time over one or two million years backwards and forwards from now, to accommodate mankind and His Kingdom on earth and in relative time, which is the time which came with the Split, meaning created and invented by "SC", the self-conscious state of Man.

Absolute time is a measure, a straight line between us and big-bang. Along its way, we measure conditions of recession in our past and how long ago this was, and how it must have "felt" (in terms of "cosmic awareness") by looking at different galaxies, representing different stages in our common past. But to say "absolute time does not exist, and what we see is a recession of galaxies like raisins in a rising dough, and speed limitations of light do not bother us in this picture of the universe perceived as of right now, is acting like the naked king, clothed in relativity, and nobody dares to say a thing. :-) But this is wrong. We cannot ignore the Absolute. He who does cannot be a seeker of Truth.

Let us take a look at the Shell. Let us pick a point, anywhere you want. In the physical, call it Galaxy. Call it solar system. Call it earth. Let's switch over to "Consciousness". If God exists, He should have known where to put His marker, and where and when half of Creation is complete, and both halves are conjugate complex to each other, and the Body is ready to receive His Spirit Who is His Son in manifestation, and Memory of Man and His Kingdom begins. Makes sense to me. Now with Maxwell and Field theory and all these beautiful people gifted with vision, we could draw up complex fields, anchored firmly on our marker, perfectly balanced in absolute and relative time to describe Spirit in the form of shared perception as a complexity in the state of upside-down perception in the 1-ness of God's Mind. This, and as

we define it, is kept in Memory. We know, just for balance, we all are brought back, if we want to or not. Nobody is lost. God does not create junk, and sin is not of Him. Nice exercise grounds, though. In the physical domain, this flat area simply means "The Body of all Bodies is at rest", and during this period light transmitted from any moving body in space-time is constant, never mind in which direction it is pointed, or what relative speed of the light source compared to the rest is achieved. This shall not be so anymore when recessional velocity takes on a percentage of the speed of light on our projected Return and universal collapse. But to witness and verify this would be way beyond our life on earth. It appears Andromeda is coming fast, much faster than we think, and being closer than what the record says. It must be quite spectacular, when galaxies meet. These are build-in clean-up services after the job is done. Hans

November 23, 2005 Hans J Zeunert

The Split


We looked at the original Idea $\alpha I AM \Omega$, and our vision of the spiritual & physical Aspect of Creation. We learned of the need to introduce "absolute time" in understanding the All from begin to its end. We were introduced to the term "Split" used to describe God's Son being split into billions of pieces which are functions in absolute & relative time, at the precise moment $\tau=0$, or maximum universal expansion, within this enormous flat area where τ hardly moves from its near zero state in the universal experience in the transition from expansion to contraction. It is here were we have a reference point, it is here where upon we construct our Split. Still assuming God exists, one would think He is aware

when half-time is, and when both halves of Creation are conjugate complex, meaning all illusions cancel and all which is real adds up, and where this is the perfect time for Man to receive the Spirit of God Who is His Son in manifestation shining at the Crest, and Jesus explains this rather plainly by saying when and where He is within the Body of Man, at any given moment in relative time. In absolute time however, and upon asking, He may install into your mind an inner, knowing voice if you care to listen, kind of 3-D perception, which works as your personal answering machine to any problem facing you, acting upon the principle of the mirror image to any problem in the purity of God's Mind. However, in a case of emergency, you can call Him anytime. This makes you up-to-date in your personal affairs on earth, and gives you 24 hour protection.

$t = \tau = \pm 2G$ defines the Split. Here we see 2 focal points between Adam and Ascension, in our paths from earth to heaven. Here at $-2G$ we radiate into all directions, and there at $+2G$ we collect all lifelines again coming from all directions in our relative field of manifestation. Nobody in Creation can ever be lost. However, as absolute time moves over the same point $\pm 2G$ twice after completing a loop around maximum universal expansion, both poles each are turned 180 degrees around against each other. This confirms that what is real adds up, and that the illusion in the complexity called "Spirit" is balanced out. The outcome always is: "God's Son is perfect and complete."

Next time let's talk about "1G" or "one Generation", referring to "the first Generation of Man." This is the unit used to measure depths in Memory, since units in Memory do not distort, as would the units of the cgs-system outside our stable state. If $t=0$ BC is

our reference point and $-2G$ means Adam, $+2G$ would be the logical answer to where the Split is collecting all ends, never mind from which direction. "Good bye to the body" is here the answer. The body is an illusion. This is the Jesus state. This gives you life forever.



The above diagram shows the relationship of relative and absolute time, and where it starts and where it ends. It shows all balance in Creation. It describes the loop we perform in our Split.

November 26, 2005 Hans J Zeunert

Reincarnation versus the Kingdom

Thank you guys, for allowing me to finish, without much interruption, my sermon on the UMT. Now i stand back, and let you take it apart. This still is in the spirit of promoting my book, as well as defending my theory. Thank you for listening.


The Loop we perform in the Split shows us that we, at the right time, have reached this level of awareness which allows us to be born on earth into Consciousness under a program called "SC",

or self-consciousness, for purposes of "Spirit Generation", and where Spirit Generation is understood to be "shared perception in the 1-ness of God's Mind" never mind the illusion shared. Here, we are given the means to "jump from earth to heaven". The Loop is the means to step out of Consciousness, including "CC". The state thereafter knows of no separation because the Body has taken on a form which is beyond perception. It is the Body of your higher Self. The state of separation, and with it the need for a body, simply evaporates. This is why Jesus in his "Course in Miracles" emphasizes that belief in reincarnation is useful only if it strengthens ones belief system in life eternal, even though reincarnation may not be "the real thing" after all, because reincarnation is based on the theory that the body is real and therefore must over and over reincarnate. This is a rat race, so to speak. It is the desperate wish of the ego, the defender of separation, wanting to survive by taking the body hostage representing itself during the transition, just for purposes of sheer survival, unless you are willing to loose your soul, never to be born again. Exactly. You have nothing to loose. Let's get rid of the ego. Let's get rid of separatism. ☺ Here is the trick:

Ask your family members, when your time has come, to wait 3 days with the cremation of the body, to give you a chance, and lots of time in the absolute, to go back and check on your personal records. Wherever you did wrong, whenever you hurt your Brother who is God's Son minus you, take the pain away from Him. Repent. You will know exactly how to do it. Because absolute time moves so very slowly at or around maximum universal expansion, absolute files are so very much compressed. You may have years of divine grace to make good in the absolute if it is for healing purposes and still be back for the funeral in relative time,

and you and your family and friends say "good-bye" together to the old and worn-out shell. You will be there and watch and witness together with the others who know you are there, and see your body in the physical inferno turn into ashes. Now are you free, and spoken free of any guilt. This should enable you to meet your Creator dressed in a white gown, free of marks and stains. Jesus did not have to do it, battling with the devil as if the devil was real. He always was and is perfectly clean, about this there is no doubt. He showed us what is correct and how to think, and that it is never too late to come to grips with ones personal evil, even right after death,- kind of extended emergency services. i mean, how pig-headed can a person be.

To live in absolute time, and as dictated by the universe, means to live the life of the Christ. Here, you are free from all sin and doubt about your higher Self. This line of life in the Life of Christ means the time from Adam to Resurrection which is the time of the Split, and with it comes ascension by turning around 360 degrees within your Higher Self. We see the center line of the Life of the Christ over our $\pm 2G$ range in Memory. He always remains at the center of his flock.




Here we have another absolute/relative time diagram, showing astrophysical forces affecting our Split in Consciousness at absolute time $\tau = +1G$, and naturally because of the balance, $\pm 1G$, a time remembered as switch-over time. Here we have a sudden opening in Mind for understanding, and a chance to complete "with flying colors" our destiny at $\tau = +2G$, the completion of our second Generation from now on and our point of deliverance waiting to compensate for what we did to the Body of the Christ during our first one. i am so sorry. It is not much to be proud about it. But it had to be. There are degrees in Consciousness, and we, because of the atrocity, stand high in Memory. i think this is absurd. Do we need war to advance in technology? Hans

January 18, 2006 Hans J Zeunert



Hi everybody. i am back from holidays. When you are an "Opa" (with a German accent :-)) and you have kids, and they have kids who want your love and attention, then any time taken out to spread the message of the "unified Mind", or the concept of the 5-dimensional model of Creation as the only true foundation to everlasting peace, must fade into the background, at or around Christmas time. However it strikes me as strange, since we all confess to peace, that we all witness the brutality of the 4-dimensional self-conscious mind, and still think this is okay. The ignorance and failure to recognize our higher Self gives this program of deception, simply called: "the Split" (or split- or self-consciousness), the upper hand for us to act in the bizarre way we do. The Split of God's Son into billions of pieces (of His most be-

loved Son Who came to earth to tell us all about it) produces upside-down perception in each of us which makes us believe "I am my body, and I die with it", or: "i must kill the other person first before he kills me." This is a reaction in total fear. This is right-out insanity. Let us remember: "The I never changes." We all take part in it. Has nobody ever noticed this before? And if i may butt into a conversation parallel to mine right now here on this forum, God is not a "spiritual Being" either. (This also is an illusion perceived in upside-down perception.) Where do you guys get these weird ideas from? "Spirit" is God's Son in manifestation. He is a function of time. In math, you would say, He is complex. (Brushing up on Field Theory may be a good idea.) God, the Creator of the All, is not subject to time, which is a dimension of His own Creation. He is real. God is Mind, and God does not change His Mind. His Creation is perfect & complete. Can you not see the perfect Balance of all matter to create His Son, His only begotten One, made to please Him in eternity? Why are you guys so dense? Here is a cartoon to give you a little break:



Sorry for sounding like preaching,- it is only my desire to set things straight, and as i have been blessed to see them from an

engineering point of view. Let's go back to the subject of "peace". Is that what we really want? Are you sure? When i look around, everybody is paranoid. Gun control "is in". (Always works in re-elections.) But you must have a right to self-defence. Right? Wrong? Here are a few thoughts about weapons i had during the Christmas holidays. Let us stir up a little controversy, and see if there is a response.

Weapons

What are weapons? What do you mean, hand-guns? Shot-guns? Gun have been designed to kill. The faster you draw, the greater your chance of survival. How did it start? Imagine paradise. Peace abounds. The problem is, there are neighbours which bother us. So you fight. You fight for your boundary. Perhaps you give it a little push, perhaps change a bit your boundaries; really, you must admit you are growing too. If you are strong, then this is the way nature works: survival of the fittest. If you disagree, they may do all kinds of things to you. They may throw at you a Patriot's Act. Your freedom is at risk. You are forced to take sides. Jesus says he is in the other person too, including the enemy and the bum who sleeps under the bridge, or even the "scum of the earth", your filthy enemy which needs to be stamped out under your twisting, self-righteous heel. So we keep on nailing the Body of God's Son to the cross, because nobody gives a shit. Jesus in his "Course in Miracles" explains that the Son of God cannot be killed, and so we are glad. But you are not listening. Can anything happen against the Will of God?



Landmines.

What's that? What are they good for? Perhaps to satisfy a satanic pleasure in the creation of human suffering and insane carnage. Who designs these horrible things? Professional engineers? Are we not ashamed about ourselves in our job description as professional killing machine inventors and designers and investors and manufacturers and supporters and suppliers? But if nobody cares, and all is right and justified, who am i to think this different way? Advanced countries must have superior fighting power to compel any attack. That's for sure, there is no doubt. If there is an enemy, one has to be prepared. Being responsible, one has to invade any country who make weapons matching our own to forestall a possible attack back home, just to be "on the safe side" in the national interest. If you disagree and say "killing is murder", then they silence you. They put you away, far away on some island or camp where nobody can see you nor hear you. Under Hitler, cowards who refused to fight were shot. You are expected to do your duty to the Fatherland. A lot of guys did just that out of trust in Jesus Who is our Lord. (You do not engage in the killing of the Body of your higher Self. This is not nice.) This is an easy way to get into the Kingdom,- no lifetime wasted in meditation or endless prayers, or standing on your head for hours. Do nothing. Except the execution. Hope it is quick and easy, and know time does not exist, because what keeps on changing cannot be real since reality itself does not change. Well, at the end, all of us are re-assembled back at Home, and here God's Son is real. So there is nothing to be upset about. We need the experience for the purpose of Spirit Generation. i wonder when we have our breakthrough in Consciousness, and step up to a higher level. But if we step back a little, we can see it already. We are adding a cosmic vision to our self-conscious perception. It is free for the download-

ing. All you have to do is ask for it. It is only a switch in mind. You wake up to a higher dimension. You see it all.

January 30, 2006 Hans J Zeunert

Bigbang

Let's have a look at bigbang again. What do we know so far? We say, it is a point. There is a lot of thought spent to figure out how small this point must be as a source to emit this kind of energy which sustains the universe and all It contains and as It is, in one gigantic blow of pure White Light in the Stillness of God's Mind, a cosmic explosion of an unimaginable density in Radiation rising from this tiny spot. But between the begin and the end of "the All", we sit right here in the middle of it, ready to figure things out.

Let's demystify this point. After all, a point is a point is a point. It occupies a unique location in our 5-dimensional model of "Creation in Completion". Let's look at this gate, if you like, or focal point, as an input/output devise. You "switch-on Creation" together with its own internal balancing system assuring perfect balance at any given moment in "absolute time", our radius to the expanding and contracting universe. Where do we take the energy from? Well, we went through that before (see also UMT 2.1 Big Bang). In a complete system all is balanced, and our point at the centre is not subject to time. It does not change, neither does it go away. The interesting thing is how much energy is "released into manifestation", and how fast, when our transmitter is switched on at $\tau = +BB$. We know, provided we have a perfect match in impedance (or complex resistance) between a transmitter and a re-

ceiver, we radiate as much as one half of energy generated, which means 50% is radiated, and 50% is "burned up within". This is a simple law, and there is no engineer who does not know of it. So, what does that mean? Where could this backwards-oriented, negative energy in Creation have gone to balance bigbang, the kick-back we absorb when we fire a gun? Want to know the answer? It is "Created in our Image", like in a mirror or a reflection in the purity of God's Mind, if we think of Creation as a function of absolute time which we witness manifesting in a progressive, positive direction, like in "right now", and the future unfolds today. The Energy which sustains the universe is perfectly balanced at any given moment in absolute time $\pm dt$, the differential which defines our Shell in absolute time (see also UMT 3.2 The Shell). We know our focal point in opposition to bigbang is $t = 0$ BC, or "Birth of Christ on earth" at maximum universal expansion. (Can't help it if this comes as a statement through me.) Here, at this most important moment in (God's perfect) Creation, and where $\tau = 0$, both halves meet (and palace curtains tear, and the sun stands still - suddenly going the other way around and everything is upside down; and where there was love, now there is fear). With our 2 focal points at $\tau = t = \pm 2G$ defining our Split, and as recorded for us in Memory to be kept throughout eternity, we should have a pretty good picture of our own creation and the role we play in It. At least we know where we are going.

January 30, 2006 Ron Miller

Quote: Hans Zeunert wrote: **Big Bang.**

You seem to be under the impression that the singularity that became the "Big Bang" is still around. It is not. RM

February 03, 2006 Hans J Zeunert

Hi Ron,

You replied to my above article telling me that I seem to be under the impression that the singularity that became the "Big Bang" is still around. It is not. RM. Hey, this is great. We have a lot to talk about. Thank you for your interest.

Clicking on your name I look at your art, and I marvel at your talent to zoom into depths and details of an idea, a vision, an illumination which makes me dizzy just to think about. Wow! As a young man, I was an avid SF fan. I loved Asimov and Heinlein and all the others with their far-out stories and projected images, and then there were these eye-catching front covers with pictures of space-stations or strange planets or freaky aliens, and we lived these dreams and wished them to become true in a future called the space age one day on earth, and where we would all be advanced and enlightened. We were also wondering too at the time if we were being watched from "the outside" because of our murderous nature.) These pictures demanded attention. I thought of these artists as very gifted, being able to awaken and nurture in the viewer a longing to have these wishes for all of Man, like "reaching for the stars", fulfilled. (i think this wish cannot go away unanswered because of its intensity.) You have my full adoration. However, i can only repeat and say:

A point is a point is a point. It does not go away. $\pm BB$ is the center point in and of our universe, in gravity and absolute time. It is the center to the Whole of Creation. Imagine 2 starting points, sit-

ting on top of each other, joined together in 1 point, pointing in opposite directions. Conjugate complex time vectors. This idea is real, it never changes. (The fleeting moment is not reality, because what keeps on changing cannot be real since Reality does not change. Get it?) Look at our Model of Creation in 5 dimensions. With 2 spatial dimensions $\{y;z\}$ suppressed, we can see Creation, our 4-dimensional relative world, as a function of absolute time, which measures expansion and contraction of the universe and is a straight line. Absolute time is our 5th dimension. It acts as a measuring stick, and gives us a reference point each given moment in time to our scattered lives in relative time. To visualize the idea of "Creation in Completion", we claim that with any expansion of the universe there is a corresponding contraction "in Mind", the background which knows all and in which all occurs, and in which the overall energy at any given moment in absolute time is perfectly balanced. That means the sum-total of all energy is zero each given moment in absolute time. (There is no pressure on God or His Son.) Where else, do you think, is the energy coming from? The Idea in Creation is that the Body falls out in the overall Integration, and Spirit lives on forever in Memory which is real and never changes, beyond the end of all time. With "Spirit" well defined in our theory (see also UMT 8.1 Spirit), this does not limit the "Spirit of Man" to the relative short period of mankind on earth, but would set Him free to Life Eternal in never-changing Memory. (God does not change His Mind.) The Body is only the instrument to bring the "Generation of Spirit" about. But the way our bodies have been designed over ± 12 megaG, starting from both ends, like in the construction of a bridge, in these ± 6 major Days or stages, is a marvel to any engineer. Makes more sense to me than 11 dimensions which nobody understands. Do you have any idea what these guys are talking about? Hans

February 25, 2006 Hans J Zeunert

The Unified Mind Theory attempts to bring scientific and religious belief systems onto one common denominator. It clears the mind and makes the vision of "1-ness" understandable to the seeker of Truth, never mind the degree of deviation of the part from the centre line in absolute time in our "Split in Consciousness". We are torn apart, and in Spirit we come together when we meet in the now. It is the dimension of absolute time which holds us together as we live in separate bodies and in relative time. This is all very easy to see.

The Unified Mind Theory is a collection of thoughts conceived of in a meditative state. Each paragraph may be a separate thought with little connectivity to the previous one, mostly conceived of at a different time. They were ordered by similarity, out of a box of thousands of clippings, and not necessarily according to thought continuity (sorry for that folks). Therefore, reading through the text in a straight forward manner, like one would go through a story, may give the reader a headache as continuity is conceived of as broken, and reading the book like a detective novel is very much discouraged. Bear with me for my accent, or funny grammar here and there (sorry for that too). At least it is proof of its originality. However, the theory as presented should speak for itself, since it doesn't need my input anymore. It is a triumph in revelation. Its vision speaks of His Glory, seeing God's perfect Creation laid out in all Its splendour.

The Unified Mind Theory is a collection of articles dealing with all aspects of Life conceived of as "1". They are presented in the

form of a text book thought to be useful as a reference, or as a guide for further study for the benefit of ones own personal life. The UMT has been inspired by the "Course in Miracles", a trilogy written and dictated by, what many believe, Jesus Himself as a single entity. (His Course is meant for the "diehard" and is highly recommended for those who are desperate and want their lives straightened out.) Credit is given to Maharishi Mahesh Yogi for his teachings on how to obtain transcendence and its experience as a 4th state in Consciousness, on a regular basis.